



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: S. KONISHI, et al.
Application No.: 10/808,389
Filed: March 25, 2004
For: A SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE
Art Unit: 2814
Examiner: Brian Kunzer

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

September 7, 2006

Sir:

The Examiner's summation in the Interview Summary form, PTOL-413, dated August 7, 2006, is correct. (The official mailing date of this communication was August 11, 2006.) The following comments are being provided for purposes of further elaborating on the substance of the telephone conference held between Applicants' undersigned representative and the Examiner's Supervisor.

On August 7, 2006, the undersigned initiated a telephone conference with the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Wael Fahmy, to briefly discuss with him the nature of the amendments to be made to the claims and whether such amendments would be entered if formally submitted, noting that the above-identified application was under a Final Office Action status, at that time. In this regard, the Examiner's Supervisor indicated that the filing of an amendment that would include a set of substitute claims which specifically call for a power amplifier module including a GSM system and a DCS


system...would definitely require a newly formulated substantive review and, therefore, would not be entered by the Examiner. (The telephone conference was held with the Examiner's Supervisor in view of the Examiner's absence.) In consideration of this, the undersigned indicated that Applicants would therefore agree to file a Continuation Application in which, also, a new set of claims directed to "a power amplifier module including a GSM system and a DCS system" would be submitted in the form of a Preliminary Amendment. No further matters were discussed in the telephone conference

Mr. Wael Fahmy, the Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of Art Unit 2814, is thanked for his courteousness and helpful discussion with regard to the above matter.

It is respectfully requested that any shortage in the fee be charged to the deposit account of Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP, Account No. 01-2135 (Docket No. 1374.43628X00) and any excess fees credited to that account.

Respectfully Submitted,

Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP


Larry N. Anagnos
Registration No. 32,392

LNA:dlh